

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,208 06/23/2003		Amar N. Neogi	25194 2234		
28624 7	590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER		
	USER COMPANY	KILIMAN, LESZEK B			
P.O. BOX 977	IAL PROPERTY DEPT 7	., Ch 1327	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063			1773	1773	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1/3			
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/602,208	į.	NEOGI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		leszek b kili		1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even ion. s, a reply within the statute period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		This action is no	n-final.					
3)□	·							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from cons						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	] objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection			• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•	• , ,		` '			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been iments have been e priority documen Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1)  Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4	l)					
3) 🔀 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	SB/08) 5		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,208

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over "Acetylation of Solid Wood Using Microwave Heating, Part 2. experiments in Laboratory Scale" by Brelid et al.

Brelid discloses an esterified pine wood made by a process comprising using an untreated pine wood having a moisture content of less than 8% (see Table 4), impregnating the wood with acetic anhydride, microwave heating the impregnated wood at a temperature between 120-130 degree C in a time between 30 to 240 minutes (see Figure 3) to cause esterification reaction between acetic anhydride and hydroxyl groups in the lignocellulosic material of the wood to yield an esterified wood having a degree of esterification or weight gain of about 20 % (see Introduction and Table 2),, removing of excess acetic anhydride and by-product acetic acid by evaporation under vacuum for two hours at 120 degree C to produce a final wood product

Application/Control Number: 10/602,208

Art Unit: 1773

having a total residue chemicals of about 1.6 % ( see the first paragraph, Introduction,

Page 3

Section 2.5, 3.4, and Table 2). Since the pinewood of Brelid is made by a process substantially

identical with the process of the claim, it's reasonably expected that the esterified pinewood of

Brelid would also have less that about 1% of combined acetic anhydride and by-product acetic

acid. If there is any difference, the difference must be minor and obvious.

On the other hand, Brelid discloses that the total residue chemicals that include acetic

anhydride, by-product acetic acid and other solvents used in the process are about 1.6 %. It is

reasonable to expect that the combined acetic anhydride and acetic acid in the final esterified

wood is less than 1% as being claimed. It it's not, it would be very close to the claimed range

and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the esterified

pinewood of Brelid by further removing the residual chemicals to a level where the combined

acetic anhydride and acetic acid being less than 1% in order to improve the structure of the final

wood product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 19 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brelid

et al. in view of USP No 4804384.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,208

Art Unit: 1773

As in the above rejection, Brelid teaches a process for esterifying pinewood substantially comprising every limitation of the instant claimed process. However, Brelid does not disclose the impregnating time of 15 to 30 min. The US'384 teaches impregnating time between 1-15 min (col. 4, lines 1-62). It is well within the skill of an average artisan to increase the impregnation time for wood pieces having bigger dimensions to allow the acetic anhydride to penetrate into the wood fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to increase time of impregnation as taught by US'384 since such would allow sufficient time for the acetic anydride to penetrate into the wood structure.

Page 4

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brelid in view of USP'431.

As discussed above, Brelid teaches a process for esterifying pinewood substantially as claimed. Brelid does not teach removing moisture from the starting wood with solvent. The US'432 teaches the use of solvent, see Fig 1, and claim1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify process of Brelid by using a high temperature solvent to remove water as taught by USP'431 prior to impregnating the wood in order to enhance the impregnation of acetic anhydride into the cellulose fibers.

The amendments and remarks filed by applicants October 2005 have been fully considered. The arguments have not been persuasive. The examiner believes that rejections are proper and are maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk